

The Relationship Between Student Engagement and Student Achievement

[Student Engagement Instrument Included]

Joseph S. Renzulli
University of Connecticut

The relationship between student engagement and student achievement has been a topic of significant research and discussion in the field of education. Understanding how these two factors interconnect is crucial for educators, policymakers, and researchers to enhance the learning experience and outcomes for students. This comprehensive review explores the key findings and trends in research related to the relationship between student engagement and student achievement. Student engagement and achievement are central components of the educational process. Student engagement refers to the level of interest, attention, and participation students invest in their learning activities, while student achievement encompasses the measurable outcomes of their academic performance. The connection between these two elements has been a subject of extensive investigation, aiming to uncover how engagement influences achievement and vice versa.

Various theoretical frameworks guide research on the relationship between student engagement and achievement. One prominent framework is the Social Cognitive Theory, which emphasizes the role of observational learning, imitation, and modeling in shaping students' engagement and academic success. Another influential framework is the Self-Determination Theory, highlighting the importance of autonomy, competence, and relatedness in fostering student motivation and engagement.

Factors Influencing Student Engagement

Research identifies several factors influencing student engagement. These include:

1. **Teacher-Student Relationships:** Positive relationships between teachers and students have been consistently linked to increased engagement and academic achievement. Supportive and respectful interactions contribute to a positive learning environment.
2. **Curriculum Relevance:** Engaging and relevant curriculum materials are crucial in capturing students' interest. When students see the connection between what they are learning and its real-world applications, their engagement tends to increase.
3. **Technology Integration:** The incorporation of technology into the learning environment can enhance engagement by providing interactive and dynamic content. Educational apps, online resources, and multimedia presentations are examples of technology tools that can boost student interest.

4. **Active Learning Strategies:** Pedagogical approaches that involve students actively in the learning process, such as group discussions, problem-solving activities, and hands-on projects, are associated with higher levels of engagement.

Impact of Student Engagement on Achievement

A substantial body of research suggests a positive correlation between student engagement and academic achievement. Engaged students are more likely to attend classes regularly, complete assignments on time, and exhibit a deeper understanding of the material. High levels of engagement have been linked to improved standardized test scores and overall academic performance. While the impact of engagement on achievement is well-documented, researchers also recognize the reciprocal nature of this relationship. Academic success can contribute to increased motivation and engagement. Students who experience success are more likely to develop a positive attitude towards learning, leading to a continuous cycle of motivation and achievement.

Interventions to Enhance Engagement and Achievement

Educators and policymakers have implemented various interventions to enhance both student engagement and achievement. These interventions include:

1. **Professional Development for Teachers:** Providing teachers with training on effective instructional strategies, classroom management, and fostering positive relationships can positively impact student engagement.
2. **Personalized Learning Approaches:** Tailoring instruction to individual students' needs and learning styles can increase engagement by allowing students to progress at their own pace and explore topics of interest.
3. **Inclusive Education Practices:** Creating inclusive environments that celebrate diversity and accommodate different learning abilities fosters a sense of belonging and engagement among students.
4. **Parental Involvement:** Engaging parents in their child's education has been shown to have a positive effect on student engagement and academic achievement. Regular communication between teachers and parents is a key component of successful parental involvement.

Conclusion

Despite the wealth of research on student engagement and achievement, challenges remain. One challenge is the measurement of engagement, which often relies on self-reporting or teacher observations. Future research could explore more objective measures, such as physiological indicators or behavioral markers. Additionally, the impact of external factors, such as socioeconomic status and cultural background, on the relationship between engagement and achievement requires further investigation. Understanding how these factors intersect with engagement can inform targeted interventions to address educational disparities.

The research on the relationship between student engagement and achievement provides valuable insights into the dynamics of the learning process. It highlights the importance of fostering positive teacher-student relationships, utilizing effective instructional strategies, and creating inclusive learning environments. As educators and policymakers continue to seek ways to enhance educational outcomes, a nuanced understanding of the interplay between student engagement and achievement will be essential for shaping effective educational practices.

An instrument that we have developed to assess Student Engagement can be found at the following site. Please feel free to copy, modify, and translate, or use it in any way you wish to gain information about your students' expression style preferences.

<https://gifted.media.uconn.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/961/2024/01/Student-Engagement-Scale.pdf>

Major Research Studies on Student Engagement

- Baker, M. L., Sigmon, J. N., & Nugent, M. E. (2001). *Truancy reduction: Keeping students in schools*. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.
<https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/ojdp/188947.pdf>
- Bell, A. J., Rosén, L. A., & Dynlacht, D. (1994). Truancy intervention. *The Journal of Research and Development in Education*, 57(3), 203–211.
- Bloom, B. S. (1976). *Human characteristics and school learning*. McGraw-Hill.
- Bryk, A. S., & Thum, Y. M. (1989). The effects of high school organization on dropping out: An exploratory investigation. *American Education Research Journal*, 26(3), 353–83. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1162978>
- Coie, J. D., & Jacobs, M. R. (1993). The role of social context in the prevention of conduct disorder. *Development and Psychopathology*, 5(1–2), 263–275.
<https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1017/S0954579400004387>
- Coie, J. D., Watt, N. F., West, S. G., Hawkins, J. D., Asarnow, J. R., Markham, H. J., Ramey, S. L., Shure, M. B., & Long, B. (1993). The science of prevention: A conceptual framework and some directions for a national program. *American Psychologist*, 48(10), 1013–1022. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.48.10.1013>
- Crevola, C. A., & Hill, P. W. (1998). Evaluation of a whole-school approach to prevention and intervention in early literacy. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk*, 3(2), 133–157. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327671espr0302_4
- Cuban, L. (1989). At-risk students: What teachers and principals can do. *Educational Leadership*, 46(5), 29–32.
- Dryfoos, J. G. (1990). *Adolescents at risk: Prevalence and prevention*. Oxford University Press.
- Fine, M. (1986). Why urban adolescents drop into and out of public school. *Teachers College Record*, 87(3), 393–409. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016146818608700309>
- Finn, J. D. (1989). Withdrawing from school. *Review of Educational Research*, 59(2), 117–142. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543059002117>

- Finn, J. D. (1993). *School engagement and students at risk*. National Center for Education Statistics. <https://nces.ed.gov/pubs93/93470a.pdf>
- Finn, J. D., & Rock, D. A. (1997). Academic success among students at risk for school failure. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 82(2), 221–234. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0021-9010.82.2.221>
- Finn, J. D., & Voelkl, K. E. (1993). School characteristics related to student engagement. *Journal of Negro Education*, 62(3), 249–268. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2295464>
- Fullan, M. (2001). *The new meaning of educational change* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.
- Gamoran, A. (1992). Social factors in education. In M. C. Alkin (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of educational research* (6th ed., pp. 1222–1229). Macmillan.
- Goodenow, C. (1993). The psychological sense of school membership among adolescents: Scale development and educational correlates. *Psychology in Schools*, 30(1), 79–90. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6807\(199301\)30:1<79::AID-PITS2310300113>3.0.CO;2-X](https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6807(199301)30:1<79::AID-PITS2310300113>3.0.CO;2-X)
- Goodenow, C., & Grady, K. E. (1993). The relationship of school belonging and friends' values to academic motivation among adolescent students. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 62(1), 60–71. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20152398>
- Hawkins, J. D., Doueck, H. J., & Lishner, D. M. (1988). Changing teaching practices in mainstream classrooms to improve bonding and behavior of low achievers. *American Educational Research Journal*, 25(1), 31–50. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1163158>
- Huizinga, D., Loeber, R., & Thornberry, T. P. (1995). *Urban delinquency and substance abuse: Initial findings*. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. <https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles/urdel.pdf>
- Johnson, M. K., Crosnoe, R., & Elder, G. H. (2001). Students' attachment and academic engagement: The role of race and ethnicity. *Sociology of Education*, 74(4), 318–340. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2673138>
- Keating, D. P., & Hertzman, C. (Eds.). (1999). *Developmental health and the wealth of nations*. Guilford Press.
- Kirsch, I., de Jong, J., Lafontaine, D., McQueen, J., Mendelovits, J., & Monseur, C. (2002). *Reading for change: Performance and engagement across countries: Results from PISA 2000*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Kraemer, H. C., Stice, E., Kazdin, A., Offord, D., & Kupfer, D. (2001). How do risk factors work together? Mediators, moderators, and independent, overlapping, and proxy risk factors. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 158(6), 848–856. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.158.6.848>
- Lee, V. E., Bryk, A. S., & Smith, J. B. (1990). The organization of effective secondary schools. In L. Darling-Hammond (Ed.), *Review of research in education* (pp. 171–267). American Educational Research Association.
- Lee, V. E., & Smith, J. B. (1993). Effects of school restructuring on the achievement and engagement of middle-grade students. *Sociology of Education*, 66(3), 164–187. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2112735>

- Moffitt, T. E. (1993). Adolescence-limited and life-course-persistent antisocial behaviour: A developmental taxonomy. *Psychological Review*, 100(4), 674–701.
- Moffitt, T. E., Caspi, A., & Dickson, N. (1996). Childhood-onset versus adolescent-onset antisocial conduct problems in males: Natural history from ages 3 to 18 years. *Development and Psychopathology*, 8(2), 399–424.
<https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1017/S0954579400007161>
- Offord, D. R., & Bennett, K. (1994). Conduct disorder: Long-term outcomes and intervention effectiveness. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 33(8), 1069–1078. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199410000-00001>
- Offord, D. R., Kraemer, H. C., Kazdin, A. E., Jensen, P. S., Harrington, R., & Gardner, J. S. (1999). Lowering the burden of suffering: Monitoring the benefits of clinical, targeted, and universal approaches. In D. P. Keating & C. Hertzman, C. (Eds.), *Developmental health and the wealth of nations* (pp. 293–310). Guilford Press.
- Offord, D. R., & Waters, B. G. (1983). Socialization and its failure. In M. D. Levine, W. B. Carey, A. C. Crocker, & R. T. Gross (Eds.). *Developmental-behavioral pediatrics* (pp. 650–682). John Wiley and Sons.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2001a). *Education policy analysis 2001*. OECD. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/education/education-policy-analysis-2001_epa-2001-en
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2001b). *Knowledge and skills for life: First results from PISA 2000—education and skills*. OECD.
https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/education/knowledge-and-skills-for-life_9789264195905-en
- Power, C., Manor, O., & Fox, J. (1991). *Health and class: The early years*. Chapman and Hall.
- Pulkkinen, L., & Tremblay, R. E. (1992). Adult life-styles and their precursors in the social behaviour of children and adolescents. *European Journal of Personality*, 4(3), 237–251. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.2410040305>
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling lone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon and Schuster.
- Raudenbush, S. W., & Chan, W. S. (1993). Application of a hierarchical linear model to the study of adolescent deviance in an overlapping cohort design. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 61(6), 941–951.
<https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-006x.61.6.941>
- Raudenbush, S. W., & Willms, J. D. (1995). The estimation of school effects. *Journal of Educational and Behavioural Statistics*, 20(4), 307–335.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1165304>
- Riehl, C. J. (2000). The principal's role in creating inclusive schools for diverse students: A review of normative, empirical, and critical literature on the practice of educational administration. *Review of Educational Research*, 70(1), 55–81.
<https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543070001055>
- Rodgers, B. (1990). Behavior and personality in childhood as predictors of adult psychiatric disorder. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 31(3), 393–414.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1990.tb01577.x>

- Rowan, B., Raudenbush, S. W., & Kang, S. J. (1991). School climate in secondary schools. In S. W. Raudenbush & J. D. Willms (Eds.), *Schools, classrooms, and pupils: International studies of schooling from a multi-level perspective* (pp. 203–224). Academic Press.
- Rowe, K. J., & Rowe, K. S. (1992). The relationship between inattentiveness in the classroom and reading achievement (Part B): An explanatory study. *Journal of American Academy of Child Adolescent Psychiatry*, 31(2), 357–368. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199203000-00026>
- Rumberger, R. W. (1983). Dropping out of high school: The influence of race, sex, and family background. *American Educational Research Journal*, 20(2), 199–220. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1162594>
- Rumberger, R. W. (1995). Dropping out of middle school: A multi-level analysis of students and schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(3), 583–625. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312032003583>
- Tonry, M., Ohlin, L. E., & Farrington, D. P. (1991). *Human development and criminal behaviour: New ways of advancing knowledge*. Springer-Verlag.
- Voelkl, K. E. (1995). School warmth, student participation, and achievement. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 63(2), 127–138. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20152443>
- Voelkl, K. E. (1996). Measuring students identification with school. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 56(5), 760–770. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164496056005003>
- Voelkl, K. E. (1997). Identification with school. *American Journal of Education*, 105(3), 294–318. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1086/444158>
- Ward, A., Stoker, H., & Murray-Ward, M. (1996). *Educational measurement: Origins, theories and explanations*. University Press of America.
- Wehlage, G. G., Rutter, R. A., Smith, G. A., Lesko, N., & Fernandez, R. R. (1989). *Reducing the risk: Schools as communities of support*. Falmer Press.
- Werner, E. E., & Smith, R. S. (1992). *Overcoming the odds: High risk children from birth to adulthood*. Cornell University Press.
- Willms, J. D. (1999). Quality and inequality in children's literacy: The effects of families, schools, and communities. In D. Keating & C. Hertzman (Eds.), *Developmental health and the wealth of nations: Social, biological, and educational dynamics* (pp. 72–93). Guilford Press.
- Willms, J. D. (2001). Monitoring school performance for 'standards-based reform'. *Evaluation and Research in Education*, 14(3–4), 237–253. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500790008666976>
- Willms, J. D. (2002a). *Ten hypotheses about socio-economic gradients and community differences in children's developmental outcomes*. Human Resources Development Canada, Applied Research Branch.
- Willms, J. D. (2002b). *Vulnerable children: Findings from Canada's national longitudinal survey of children and youth*. University of Alberta Press.
- Yoshikawa, H. (1994). Prevention as cumulative protection: Effects of early family support and education on chronic delinquency and its risks. *Psychological Bulletin*, 115(1), 28–54. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.115.1.28>